



# Diatom diversity, distribution and ecology in Mediterranean ecosystems of Abrau Peninsula, north-western Caucasus

Alisa A. Neplyukhina<sup>‡</sup>, Ruslan A. Saifutdinov<sup>‡</sup>, Angelina A. Paskhina<sup>§</sup>, Daniil I. Korobushkin<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>‡</sup> Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution RAS, Moscow, Russia

<sup>§</sup> Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

Corresponding author: Alisa A. Neplyukhina ([taviliss@gmail.com](mailto:taviliss@gmail.com))

Academic editor: Saúl Blanco

Received: 22 Jun 2022 | Accepted: 09 Aug 2022 | Published: 16 Aug 2022

Citation: Neplyukhina AA, Saifutdinov RA, Paskhina AA, Korobushkin DI (2022) Diatom diversity, distribution and ecology in Mediterranean ecosystems of Abrau Peninsula, north-western Caucasus. Biodiversity Data Journal 10: e89405. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.10.e89405>

## Abstract

### Background

The North Caucasus is an extensive region with a multitude of landscapes and high biological diversity. Amongst various ecosystems, the xerophytic sub-Mediterranean forests of the Abrau Peninsula (Utrish State Nature Reserve) and its vicinity are unique but have been poorly studied. The diversity of diatoms in North Caucasian ecosystems have been studied partially and only little information is available about their presence and distribution on the Abrau Peninsula. Here, we present a comprehensive check-list of diatoms sampled during a July 2021 field campaign. Samples were collected in 67 sites, including 39 permanent streams, 21 temporal (puddles) and seven permanent waterbodies. Results of the current study contribute to improving the knowledge about diatoms in the north-western Caucasus and its sub-Mediterranean ecosystems in particular.

## New information

Here, we provide a detailed dataset that contains 215 freshwater and brackish diatom occurrences collected during a field campaign in July 2021. A total of 88 diatom (Bacillariophyta) taxa which belong to 12 orders, 25 families and 39 genera were collected. The genera with the highest number of occurrences per site were *Gomphonema* (26), *Nitzschia* (22), *Navicula* (20), *Cocconeis* (14), *Amphora* (14), *Achnantheidium* (14) and *Planothidium* (11). The genera with the highest number of infrageneric taxa were *Nitzschia* (8), *Navicula* (7), *Gomphonema* (6) and *Mastogloia* (5). *Navicula blazencicae*, known as the endemic of the Lake Prespa (Levkov 2007) is found from two sites in our study. Three specimens of the genus *Mastogloia* could not be assigned to a known species and may represent new diatom species. Distribution and ecology data are provided for each taxa. Occurrence data are given. Statistical analysis of diatom communities showed a significant dependence on habitat type and their ecological conditions.

## Keywords

Bacillariophyta, freshwater ecosystems, coastal ecosystems, new records, species checklist, Utrish State Nature Reserve

## Introduction

Diatoms are a widely distributed group of algae whose representatives populate both aquatic (marine and freshwater) and terrestrial ecosystems, such as soils, mosses, wet walls and rocks (Round et al. 1990, Smol and Stoermer 2010) and play a key role in the nutrient cycle and energy flux (Benoiston et al. 2017). In seas and oceans, organic carbon produced by diatoms is consumed rapidly and serves as a base for marine food webs. In coastal waters, diatoms support most productive fisheries. In the open ocean, a relatively large proportion of diatom organic matter sinks rapidly from the surface, becoming food for deep-water organisms (Armbrust 2009). Soils and other terrestrial ecosystems have more severe effects for diatoms and differ from aquatic ecosystems in diatom species composition, although diatoms can be the dominant algal group at periods of the year with high soil moisture (Foets et al. 2020).

Diatoms are regularly used as biological indicators for the water quality environmental assessment (Patrick 1973, Reid et al. 1995, Kelly et al. 1998, Battarbee et al. 2002). The analysis of diatom communities and their biodiversity is a useful tool to secure an ecological and sustainable use of the water resources and the correct elaboration of guidelines for their preservation, in particular, in specially protected natural areas. Some recent studies have shown that natural springs in protected areas may act as biodiversity hotspots (Falasco and Bona 2011, Falasco et al. 2012).

Different ecological groups of Black Sea diatoms have been actively studied, especially from the perspective of water quality assessment (Petrov and Nevrova 2007, Ryabushko et

al. 2017, Ryabushko et al. 2019, Ryabushko et al. 2021). Additionally, there is ongoing research on diatom diversity of specially protected natural sea areas of the Black Sea (Nevrova 2015, Ryabushko et al. 2018, Polyakova and Davidovich 2019, Ryabushko et al. 2021, Davidovich and Polyakova 2022). In a recent study of diatom communities in the water area surrounding Bolshoi Utrish (Anapa District, Russia), it was found that 77% of the biomass and 25% of the total phytoplankton abundance was composed of Bacillariophyta species (Yasakova and Kolesnikov 2021). Inland research of diatom communities have mainly been focused on Abrau Lake, the largest freshwater lake in the Abrau Peninsula (Kovaleva 2005, Kovaleva 2018). There are, however, a number of freshwater waterbodies and streams in the Abrau Peninsula and the nearby Black Sea coastal zone that are still understudied in terms of diatom diversity and distribution. We assume that some sampling locations (freshwater streams) on the territory of Utrish State Nature Reserve, especially without anthropogenic disturbance, potentially might be hidden hotspots of diatom biodiversity.

This study presents a taxonomical characterisation and occurrence dataset of the diatoms found in Mediterranean ecosystems of the Abrau Peninsula, north-western Caucasus, particularly in protected areas of Utrish State Nature Reserve. We aim to contribute to the current knowledge of diatom diversity and distribution in the freshwater and brackish inland water in the north-western Caucasus and its sub-Mediterranean ecosystems in particular.

## Project description

**Title:** Diatom diversity, distribution and ecology in Mediterranean ecosystems of Abrau Peninsula, north-western Caucasus.

**Personnel:** Samples were collected on 12-20 July 2021 on the Abrau Peninsula by Alisa Neplyukhina and Angelina Pashkina. Identifications were made by Alisa Neplyukhina. Statistical analyses were performed by Daniil Korobushkin and Ruslan Saifutdinov. The text was written by Alisa Neplyukhina, Daniil Korobushkin and Ruslan Saifutdinov.

**Study area description:** The Abrau Peninsula is located between the city of Anapa and Abrau-Durso settlement in Novorossiysk District, Krasnodar Krai, Russia. Most of the Abrau Peninsula is under the protection of the Utrish State Nature Reserve (hereinafter referred to as "Utrish") and is not affected or disturbed by human activity, with the exception of the coastal zone and suburbs. The Abrau Peninsula has a humid subtropical (Cfa) and Mediterranean climate (Csa) according to the Köppen climate classification with cool rainy winters without stable snow cover and with hot dry summers (Chen and Chen 2013). The mean annual precipitation ranges from 480 mm (Anapa) to 788 mm (Novorossiysk), the mean July and February temperatures for both localities are 21°C and 2°C, respectively (weatherbase, CautyMedia 2022).

The study area belongs to the Mediterranean ecoregion (Olson et al. 2001, Ogureeva et al. 2018) and is the only place in Russia covered by Mediterranean forests. The vegetation here forms three major belts (Bocharnikov et al. 2020, Seregin and Suslova 2007): 1.

coastal slopes with sub-Mediterranean xerophytic forests and shrublands with pistachio (*Pistacia mutica*), juniper (*Juniperus excelsa*, *J. oxycedrus*, *J. foetidissima*), oak (*Quercus pubescens*) and oriental hornbeam (*Carpinus orientalis*); 2. piedmont and low-mountain area with a combination of mesophilic and xerophilic forests and a predominance of two oak species (*Q. pubescens*, *Q. petraea*), oriental hornbeam and junipers; 3. low mountains with mesophilic deciduous forests with a domination of oak (*Q. petraea*), hornbeam (*Carpinus caucasica*), lime (*Tilia begoniifolia*), maple (*Acer laetum*), ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) and beech (*Fagus orientalis*). A distinctive feature of the Utrish flora is unique pronounced Mediterranean core tertiary relict elements. It is inhabited by numerous rare, endemic species of flora and fauna.

Freshwater habitats are represented by permanent and temporary streams flowing to the Black Sea, as well as temporary small waterbodies (hereinafter referred as "puddles") scattered across the Abrau Peninsula. Brackish habitats are represented by small permanent lagoons located along the coastline.

## Sampling methods

**Study extent:** Diatoms were collected from 67 sampling sites, including 39 permanent streams, 21 temporal waterbodies (puddles) and seven permanent waterbodies (lakes and lagoons) collected on the Abrau Peninsula, north-western Caucasus, Russia (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Sampling code	Laboratory codename	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	Site type	Salinity	Sample type	Commentary
1	UT-2021-1	44.7582	37.4783	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
2	UT-2021-2	44.7582	37.4783	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
3	UT-2021-3	44.7637	37.4498	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
4	UT-2021-4	44.7627	37.4556	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
5	UT-2021-5	44.7623	37.4573	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	
6	UT-2021-6	44.7637	37.4497	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Soil	
7	UT-2021-7	44.7635	37.4520	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Soil	

Sampling code	Laboratory codename	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	Site type	Salinity	Sample type	Commentary
8	UT-2021-8	44.7627	37.4556	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
9	UT-2021-9	44.7627	37.4556	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	
10	UT-2021-10	44.7627	37.4556	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
11	UT-2021-11	44.7582	37.4782	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
12	UT-2021-12	44.7582	37.4782	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
13	UT-2021-13	44.7635	37.4520	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
14	UT-2021-14	44.7572	37.4713	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
15	UT-2021-15	44.7606	37.4986	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
16	UT-2021-16	44.7623	37.4573	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
17	UT-2021-17	44.7097	37.4561	Permanent	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
18	UT-2021-18	44.7887	37.4765	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
19	UT-2021-19	44.7737	37.5125	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
20	UT-2021-20	44.7094	37.4562	Permanent	Freshwater	Sediment	
21	UT-2021-21	44.7239	37.4522	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Soil	No diatoms found
22	UT-2021-22	44.7887	37.4765	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
23	UT-2021-23	44.7151	37.4476	Permanent	Freshwater	Soil	
24	UT-2021-24	44.7552	37.4574	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
25	UT-2021-25	44.7737	37.5125	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
26	UT-2021-26	44.7887	37.4764	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
27	UT-2021-27	44.7093	37.4561	Permanent	Freshwater	Sediment	
28	UT-2021-28	44.7178	37.5495	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	

Sampling code	Laboratory codename	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	Site type	Salinity	Sample type	Commentary
29	UT-2021-29	44.7851	37.4844	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
30	UT-2021-30	44.6946	37.5154	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
31	UT-2021-31	44.7606	37.4986	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Soil	
32	UT-2021-32	44.7888	37.4765	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
33	UT-2021-33	44.7851	37.4844	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
34	UT-2021-34	44.7737	37.5125	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
35	UT-2021-35	44.7888	37.4765	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
36	UT-2021-36	44.7247	37.4885	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
37	UT-2021-37	44.6941	37.5152	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
38	UT-2021-38	44.7247	37.4885	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
39	UT-2021-39	44.7178	37.5495	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
40	UT-2021-40	44.6945	37.5154	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
41	UT-2021-41	44.7178	37.5495	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
42	UT-2021-42	44.7888	37.4765	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
43	UT-2021-43	44.7093	37.4561	Permanent	Freshwater	Sediment	
44	UT-2021-44	44.7247	37.4885	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
45	UT-2021-45	44.7638	37.4498	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
46	UT-2021-46	44.7582	37.4782	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
47	UT-2021-47	44.7247	37.4885	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
48	UT-2021-48	44.7247	37.4885	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
49	UT-2021-49	44.7709	37.5175	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found

Sampling code	Laboratory codename	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	Site type	Salinity	Sample type	Commentary
50	UT-2021-50	44.7737	37.5125	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
51	UT-2021-51	44.7710	37.5156	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
52	UT-2021-52	44.7690	37.5197	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
53	UT-2021-53	44.7697	37.5183	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Soil	No diatoms found
54	UT-2021-54	44.7690	37.5197	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
55	UT-2021-55	44.7862	37.4474	Temporary, Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
56	UT-2021-56	44.7741	37.5111	Stream	Freshwater	Sediment	
57	UT-2021-57	44.7691	37.5199	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
58	UT-2021-58	44.7711	37.5155	Temporary (Puddle)	Freshwater	Sediment	No diatoms found
59	UT-2021-59	44.8007	37.4420	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
60	UT-2021-60	44.8007	37.4421	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	No diatoms found
61	UT-2021-61	44.8007	37.4420	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap, Sediment	
62	UT-2021-62	44.7918	37.3940	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
63	UT-2021-63	44.7918	37.3940	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap, Sediment	
64	UT-2021-64	44.7254	37.4368	Stream	Freshwater	Rock scrap	
65	UT-2021-65	44.7345	37.4199	Permanent	Brackish	Rock scrap	
66	UT-2021-66	44.7354	37.4170	Permanent	Brackish	Rock scrap	
67	UT-2021-67	44.74781	37.4061	Stream	Brackish	Moss squeeze, Rock scrap	

**Sampling description:** Material for this research was collected in July 2021. Sampling was carried out after the annual peak of summer precipitation in June (CantyMedia 2022) and performed after a week of strong rains (CantyMedia 2022). This made it possible to collect material from both permanent and temporary waterbodies. Diatom samples were collected from 67 sites on the Abrau Peninsula (Table 1). The sampling sites differed in salinity from brackish to freshwater. Sample types include 36 rock scrap samples, 24 sediments

samples, six soil samples and one moss squeeze sample. Diatom samples were collected in 50 ml plastic containers and immediately fixed with Lugol's solution (2 ml to 50 ml of sample) in order to keep other algae groups in their best condition for further research (Sadchikov 2003). Material was cleaned from the organics in accordance with the hot peroxide method following Kelly et al. (2001). Light microscopical investigations were performed in bright-field optics using a Leica DM 750 microscope, equipped with a Leica ICC50 HD digital camera. Permanent slides were prepared with Naphrax®. For the scanning electron microscopy investigation, drops of cleaned material were air-dried on pieces of aluminium foil, mounted on brass stubs with double-sided carbon tape and coated with Au in a S150A Sputter Coater (Edwards, UK) ion coater. Scanning electron microscopic investigations were conducted using TESCAN MIRA 3 LMH (TESCAN, Czech Republic) in the Joint Usage Center «Instrumental methods in ecology» at the IEE RAS. All prepared LM slides and SEM stubs are stored in the collection of the Laboratory for Ecology of Aquatic Communities and Invasions, IEE RAS.



Figure 1. [doi](#)

Study area and sampling sites location (Map source credits: <https://wego.here.com>).

**Quality control:** For diatom identification, a number of manuals were used (Lange-Bertalot 2001, Kulikovskiy et al. 2016, Cantonati et al. 2017). Valid diatom taxon names were verified according to Guiry and Guiry (2022). Data on diatom ecology are given according to Kulikovskiy et al. (2016), Cantonati et al. (2017) and Guiry and Guiry 2022 .

**Step description:** The data have been published as a Darwin Core Archive (DwC-A), which is a standardised format for sharing biodiversity data as a set of one or more data tables. The core data table contains 215 occurrences (Neplyukhina et al. 2022).

**Statistical analysis:** Similarity between diatom communities of Abrau Peninsula was evaluated using hierarchical cluster analysis. Before analysis, the data were prepared via the `dplyr` 1.0.8. package (Wickham et al. 2018) into species x communities matrix with presence/absence data. Data on diatoms were pooled into communities according to their presence in the habitat type (stream, waterbody or puddle) and according to the sampling method (scrap, sediment, moss and soil). A detailed description of habitat type selection and sampling methods is given in Table 1. Distances between communities were calculated using a binary method and the Ward.D2 method was selected for the hierarchical clustering procedure. Additionally for each cluster, bootstrap probability value (BP) and approximately unbiased (AU) probability values (*p-values*) were calculated via multi-scale bootstrap on 10000 resamplings using the package `pvclust` 2.2-0 (Suzuki and Shimodaira 2006). To define our clusters, we used a significance level of  $p < 0.05$ , i.e. the AU value equal or higher than 95. The obtained dendrogram was customised with the `dendextend` 1.15.2 package (Galili 2015). The above analyses were performed in R 4.1.2 (R Core Team 2021) with R Studio interface (R studio Inc.). To analyse the correlation between the species richness of diatoms belonging to a particular ecological group and their presence in various habitats of the Abrau Peninsula, the principal component analysis (PCA) was applied. Sampled habitats (freshwater puddles, freshwater streams, freshwater waterbodies and brackish waterbodies in accordance with Table 1) and separability preferences (eutrophic, mesotrophic, oligotrophic, polluted water) were selected as active variables, while environment preferences (freshwater, brackish, marine) were chosen as additional (passive) ones. Prior to the analysis, data were Z-transformed to homogenise the variance. PCA analysis were performed using Statistica 13.0 software (TIBCO Software Inc., USA).

## Geographic coverage

**Description:** Utrish State Nature Reserve, Abrau Peninsula, north-western Caucasus, Russia

**Coordinates:** 44.694123 N and 44.800702 N Latitude; 37.394033 E and 37.5495 E Longitude.

## Taxonomic coverage

**Description:** All diatoms were identified to genus or species/intraspecific level. In total, 88 infrageneric taxa were identified belonging to two classes, 12 orders, 25 families and 39 genera distributed in the subphylum Bacillariophytina, 11 of them being identified only to genus level. The taxonomic coverage of the diatoms found in studied material is given in Table 2. The diatom species list with their ecological preferences, distribution and occurrence is given in Table 3.

Table 2.

Taxonomic coverage of diatoms from studied samples.

Orders	Families	Genera	Total taxa	Total species
Achnanthes	3	4	10	8
Bacillariales	1	3	13	13
Bacillariophyta ordo incertae sedis	1	1	1	1
Cymbellales	2	7	14	12
Fragilariales	3	3	3	3
Licmophorales	1	1	1	
Mastogloiales	1	1	5	2
Naviculales	10	13	28	22
Rhabdonematales	1	2	2	2
Rhopalodiales	1	1	1	1
Surirellales	1	1	3	2
Thalassiosiphales	1	2	7	7

Table 3.

List of diatom species found in samples with notes on their ecology, distribution and occurrence (number of samples). Data on ecology and distribution are given according to Kulikovskiy et al. 2016, Cantonati et al. (2017), and Guiry and Guiry 2022 .

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Achnanthes brevipes</i> var. <i>brevipes</i> C.Agardh	ACHBRE	Brackish, Marine	Widely distributed			1
<i>Achnanthes</i> sp.	ACHSP					1
<i>Achnantheidium minutissimum</i> (Kützing) Czarnecki	ACHNMIN	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan			8
<i>Achnantheidium</i> sp.	ACHNSP					1
<i>Achnantheidium straubianum</i> (Lange-Bertalot) Lange-Bertalot	ACHNSTR	Freshwater	Arctic-alpine	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Calcium-bicarbonate rich	5

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Amphora inariensis</i> Krammer	AMINA	Freshwater	Widely distributed	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic		4
<i>Amphora indistincta</i> Levkov	AMINDI	Freshwater	Widely distributed	Oligotrophic		6
<i>Amphora ovalis</i> (Kützing) Kützing s.l.	AMOV	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic, Eutrophic		1
<i>Amphora pediculus</i> (Kützing) Grunow in A.W.F.Schmidt	AMPED	Freshwater, Brackish	Widely distributed	Oligotrophic		3
<i>Brachysira aponina</i> Kützing	BRACH	Marine, Brackish	Widely distributed			3
<i>Caloneis</i> cf. <i>vasileyevae</i> Lange-Bertalot, Genkal & Vekhov	CALVAS	Freshwater	Holarctic			4
<i>Cocconeis euglypta</i> Ehrenberg	COCCEU	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Alkaline	3
<i>Cocconeis lineata</i> Ehrenberg	COCCLIN	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Alkaline	9
<i>Cocconeis pediculus</i> Ehrenberg	COCCPED	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Alkaline	1
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehrenberg s.l.	COCCPLAT	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic, Eutrophic		1
<i>Craticula accomoda</i> (Hustedt) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann	CRATACC	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Eutrophic, Polluted water		1
<i>Craticula</i> cf. <i>buderi</i> (Grunow ex Van Heurck) D.G.Mann.	CRATBUD	Freshwater, Brackish	Widely distributed			1
<i>Craticula dissociata</i> (E.Reichardt) E.Reichardt	CRATDISS	Freshwater	Holarctic	Eutrophic		1

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Craticula molestiformis</i> (Hustedt) Mayama	CRATMOL	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Eutrophic, Polluted water		1
<i>Ctenophora</i> sp.	CTENSP					1
<i>Cymbella affinis</i> Kützing	CYMAFF	Freshwater	Widely distributed, Alpine	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium-bicarbonate rich	3
<i>Cymbella hantzschiana</i> Krammer	CYMHANTZ	Freshwater	Widely distributed	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic		3
<i>Cymbopleura</i> sp.	CYMSP					1
<i>Diatoma tenuis</i> C.Agardh	DIATTEN	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan			2
<i>Diploneis</i> cf. <i>carloswetzellii</i> Lange-Bertalot & Fuhrmann	DIPCAR	Freshwater				1
<i>Diploneis krammeri</i> Lange-Bertalot & E.Reichardt	DIPKRAM	Freshwater	Arctic-Alpine	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Alkaline, Calcium-bicarbonate rich	2
<i>Diploneis oculata</i> (Brébisson) Cleve	DIPOCU	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium-bicarbonate rich	1
<i>Encyonopsis microcephala</i> (Grunow) Krammer	ENCYMIC	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium-bicarbonate rich	4
<i>Encyonopsis subminuta</i> Krammer & E.Reichardt in Krammer	ENCYSUBM	Freshwater	Holarctic	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium-bicarbonate rich	1
<i>Fallacia</i> cf. <i>subhamulata</i> (Grunow) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann	ENCYSUBH	Freshwater	Holarctic	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Alkaline	2
<i>Fragilariforma bicapitata</i> (A.Mayer) D.M.Williams & Round	FRAGBIC	Freshwater	Holarctic	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Acidic, Siliceous	2

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Frustulia vulgaris</i> (Thwaites) De Toni	FRUSTV	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic		2
<i>Geissleria</i> sp.	GEISSP	Freshwater				1
<i>Gomphonema angustum</i> C.Agardh	GOMANG	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan		Calcium-bicarbonate rich	1
<i>Gomphonema pumilum</i> var. <i>rigidum</i> E.Reichardt & Lange-Bertalot	GOMPUM	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium-bicarbonate rich	9
<i>Gomphonema pygmaeum</i> J.Kociolek & E.Stoermer	GOMPYG	Freshwater	Holarctic			4
<i>Gomphonema micropus</i> Kützing	GOMMIC	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Alkaline	4
<i>Gomphonema parvulum</i> (Kützing) Kützing s.l.	GOMPAR	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Alkaline	6
<i>Gomphonema subclavatum</i> (Grunow) Grunow	GOMSUB	Freshwater		Oligotrophic		2
<i>Halamphora bicapitata</i> (M.H.Hohn & J.Hellerman) J.G.Stepanek & Kociolek	HALABI		Holarctic			4
<i>Halamphora coffeiformis</i> (C.Agardh) Mereschkowsky	HALACOFFE	Brackish	Cosmopolitan			1
<i>Halamphora montana</i> (Krasske) Levkov	HALAMON	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Alkaline	4
<i>Hantzschia amphioxys</i> (Ehrenberg) Grunow in Cleve & Grunow	HANTZAM	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic		3
<i>Hantzschia abundans</i> Lange-Bertalot	HANTZAB	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic		1

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Humidophila contenta</i> (Grunow) R.L.Lowe & al.	HUMCON	Freshwater, Aerophilic	Cosmopolitan			3
<i>Luticola acidoclinata</i> Lange-Bertalot in Lange-Bertalot & Metzeltin	LUTAC	Freshwater, Aerophilic	Holarctic	Oligotrophic	Weakly acidic	1
<i>Luticola cf. ventricosa</i> (Kützing) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann	LUTVEN	Freshwater, Aerophilic	Cosmopolitan			1
<i>Luticola mutica</i> (Kützing) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann	LUTMUT	Freshwater, Brackish, Aerophilic	Cosmopolitan			2
<i>Luticola nivalis</i> (Ehrenberg) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann	LUTNIV	Freshwater, Aerophilic	Holarctic	Oligotrophic		1
<i>Mastogloia lanceolata</i> Thwaites ex W. Smith	MASTL	Brackish, Marine				2
<i>Mastogloia pusilla</i> var. <i>pusilla</i> Grunow	MASTP	Brackish, Marine				1
<i>Mastogloia</i> sp.1	MAST1	Brackish, Marine				1
<i>Mastogloia</i> sp.2	MAST2	Brackish, Marine				1
<i>Mastogloia</i> sp.3	MAST3	Brackish, Marine				2
<i>Meridion circulare</i> var. <i>constrictum</i> (Ralfs) Van Heurck	MERCIR	Freshwater	Holarctic	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic		2
<i>Navicula antonii</i> Lange-Bertalot	NAVANT	Freshwater				7
<i>Navicula blazencicae</i> Z.Levkov & S.Krstic	NAVBLA	Freshwater	Alpine			2

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Navicula cincta</i> (Ehrenb.) Ralfs in A.Pritch.	NAVCINC					1
<i>Navicula cryptotenella</i>	NAVCRY					2
<i>Navicula</i> sp.	NAVSP					4
<i>Navicula tripunctata</i> (O.F.Müller) Bory in Bory de Saint-Vincent	NAVTRI	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Eutrophic		3
<i>Navicula vulpina</i> Kützing	NAVVUL	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium- bicarbonate rich	1
<i>Navicymbula pussila</i> (Grunow) Krammer	NAVYPUS	Brackish	Cosmopolitan		Calcium- bicarbonate rich	1
<i>Neidiomorpha binodiformis</i> (Krammer) M.Cantonati, Lange- Bertalot & N.Angeli	NEIDBI	Freshwater	Holarctic	Oligotrophic		1
<i>Nitzschia clausii</i> Hantzsch	NITZCLAUS	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic		1
<i>Nitzschia denticula</i> Grunow	NITZDEN	Freshwater	Widely distributed	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Calcium- bicarbonate rich	4
<i>Nitzschia linearis</i> W.Smith	NITZLIN	Freshwater	Holarctic	Eutrophic	Alkaline	4
<i>Nitzschia schwabei</i> Krasske ex Lange- Bertalot	NITZSCH	Brackish	Holarctic			4
<i>Nitzschia tenuis</i> W.Smith	NITZTE	Freshwater	Holarctic	Eutrophic		1
<i>Nitzschia thermaloides</i> Hustedt	NITZTHE	Marine, Brackish	Holarctic			2
<i>Nitzschia tubicola</i> Grunow in Cleve & Grunow	NITZTU	Marine, Brackish	Cosmopolitan			5

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Nitzschia valdestrata</i> Aleem & Hustedt	NITZVA	Freshwater, Brackish	Widely distributed			1
<i>Pinnularia bertrandii</i> var. <i>angustefasciata</i> Krammer	PINNBT	Freshwater	Holarctic			1
<i>Pinnularia borealis</i> var. <i>scalaris</i> (Ehrenberg) Rabenhorst	PINNBOR	Freshwater	Widely distributed		Siliceous	1
<i>Planothidium frequentissimum</i> (Lange-Bertalot) Lange-Bertalot	PLANFRE	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic	Alkaline	11
<i>Playaensis citrus</i> (Krasske) E.Reichardt	PLAYCI	Freshwater	Widely distributed			1
<i>Pleurosigma elongatum</i> W.Smith	PLEU					1
<i>Pseudostaurosira brevistriata</i> (Grunow) D.M.Williams & Round	PSEUSBRE	Freshwater, Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Calcium- bicarbonate rich	1
<i>Reimeria uniseriata</i> S.E.Sala, J.M.Guerrero & M.E.Ferrario	REIMUN	Freshwater	Widely distributed			4
<i>Rhopalodia gibba</i> (Ehrenberg) O.Müller	RHOGI	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic, Eutrophic	Alkaline	1
<i>Sellaphora</i> sp.	SELLSP					1
<i>Stauroforma exiguiformis</i> (Lange- Bertalot) R.J.Flower, V.J.Jones & Round	STAUREXI	Freshwater	Cosmopolitan	Eutrophic	Acidic	1
<i>Surirella angusta</i> Kützing	SURAN	Freshwater	Widely distributed	Mesotrophic, Eutrophic		1
<i>Surirella ovalis</i> Brébisson	SUROV	Brackish, Marine	Cosmopolitan			1
<i>Surirella</i> sp.	SURSP	Brackish, Marine				1

Taxa	Abbreviation for taxa	Habitat	Distribution	Saprobility	Water chemistry	Accuracy
<i>Tryblionella angustata</i> W.Smith	TRYAN	Freshwater, Brackish, Marine	Cosmopolitan			2
<i>Tryblionella apiculata</i> W.Gregory	TRYAP	Freshwater, Brackish, Marine	Cosmopolitan	Oligotrophic, Mesotrophic		2
<i>Tryblionella hungarica</i> (Grunow) Frenguelli	TRYHUN	Brackish	Cosmopolitan	Mesotrophic		5

## Temporal coverage

Notes: July 12-20, 2022

## Usage licence

Usage licence: Creative Commons Public Domain Waiver (CC-Zero)

## Data resources

**Data package title:** Diatoms of Utrish State Nature Reserve, Abrau Peninsula (Russia)

**Resource link:** [https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/download?dataset\\_key=021f55ef-ec0c-427e-9ba5-bbfa0778bd64](https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/download?dataset_key=021f55ef-ec0c-427e-9ba5-bbfa0778bd64)

**Alternative identifiers:** [http://gbif.ru:8080/ipt/resource?r=diatoms\\_utrish](http://gbif.ru:8080/ipt/resource?r=diatoms_utrish)

**Number of data sets:** 1

**Data set name:** Diatoms of Utrish State Nature Reserve, Abrau Peninsula (Russia)

**Data format:** Darwin Core Archive

**Data format version:** 1.1 published on 2022-06-20

**Description:** This dataset presents the first data on the distribution of freshwater and brackish diatoms on Abrau Peninsula and especially in the territory of the Utrish State Nature Reserve. The data in this occurrence resource have been published as a Darwin Core Archive (DwC-A), which is a standardised format for sharing biodiversity data as a set of one or more data tables. The core data table contains 215 occurrences. This IPT archives the data and, thus, serves as the data repository.

Column label	Column description
id	The ID of the record.

type	The nature of the resource.
basisOfRecord	The specific nature of the data record.
occurrenceID	Identifier of the record, coded as a global unique identifier.
eventID	Identifier of the event, unique for the dataset.
eventDate	Time interval when the event occurred.
country	Country of the sampling site.
countryCode	Code of the country where the event occurred.
LocationID	Identifier of sampling location for this dataset.
samplingProtocol	Description of sample collection method.
locationRemarks	Notes about the features of sampling site.
decimalLatitude	The geographic latitude of the sampling site.
decimalLongitude	The geographic longitude of the sampling site.
geodeticDatum	The spatial reference system upon which the geographic coordinates are based.
coordinateUncertaintyInMetres	The indicator for the accuracy of the coordinate location in metres, described as the radius of a circle around the stated point location.
recordedBy	A list (concatenated and separated) of names of people responsible for collecting material and recording the original Occurrence.
identifiedBy	A list (concatenated and separated) of names of people who assigned the Taxon to the subject.
taxonID	The identifier for the set of taxon information (data associated with the Taxon class). Specific identifier to the dataset.
scientificName	The name with authorship applied on the first identification of the specimen.
acceptedNameUsage	The specimen accepted name, with authorship.
kingdom	Kingdom name.
phylum	Phylum name.
class	Class name.
order	Order name.
family	Family name
genus	Genus name.
specificEpithet	The name of the first or species epithet of the scientificName.
infraspecificEpithet	The name of the lowest or terminal infraspecific epithet of the scientificName, excluding any rank designation.
taxonRank	The taxonomic rank of the most specific name in the scientificName.

scientificNameAuthorship	The authorship information for the scientificName.
identificationQualifier	Contains commentaries about taxon identification (marks sp., sensu lato etc.)

## Additional information

### Diatom diversity and occurrence

This study presents 215 diatom (Bacillariophyta) occurrences in 67 sites on the Abrau Peninsula, belonging to 88 different infrageneric taxa from 39 genera, 25 families, 12 orders and one class (Table 2). Eleven of the 88 taxa have been identified only to genus level. No diatoms were found in 30 out of 67 samples. The families with the highest number of occurrences (> 10%) were Bacillariaceae (35; 16.3%), Gomphonemataceae (30; 14%), Naviculaceae (24; 11.2%), Achnanthesiaceae (24; 11.2%) and Catenulaceae (23; 10.7%). These families also were with the highest number of taxa: Bacillariaceae (13), Naviculaceae (8), Catenulaceae (7) and Gomphonemataceae (7), except for Achnanthesiaceae with three taxa. Additionally, the family Cymbellaceae was represented with a high number of taxa (7) despite the low occurrence rate (only 6.5%). The families with lower occurrences (< 3) were Bacillariophyceae *insertae sedis*, Neidiaceae, Pleurosigmataceae, Rhopalodiaceae, Staurosiraceae and Ulnariaceae (1; 0.5%) and Amphipleuraceae, Brachysiraceae, Fragilariaceae and Pinnulariaceae (2; 1%). All these families are families with the smallest number of diatom taxa: one in all, except for Pinnulariaceae with two taxa. The genera with the highest number of occurrences were *Gomphonema* (26), *Nitzschia* (22), *Navicula* (20), *Amphora* (14), *Cocconeis* (14), *Planothidium* (11) and *Achnanthes* (10). Thirty-six genera had less than five occurrences.

The most common species were *Planothidium frequentissimum* (11 samples), *Cocconeis placentula* (9 samples), *Gomphonema pumilum* var. *rigidum* (9 samples), *Achnanthes minutissimum* (8 samples), *Navicula antonii* (7 samples), *Amphora inariensis* (6 samples) and *Gomphonema parvulum* (6 samples) (Fig. 2).

The richest sites in number of taxa were UT-2021-67 (20 taxa), UT-2021-20 (freshwater puddle, 16 taxa), UT-2021-28 (freshwater waterbody sediment, 14 taxa), UT-2021-25 (soil sample of puddle, 11 taxa), UT-2021-54 (freshwater waterbody with antropogenic impact, 11 taxa) and UT-2021-66 (coastline brackish lagoon, 11 taxa).

The UT-2021-67 site is a quite unique sampling site, where freshwater from the Zhemchuzhnyj Waterfall stream mixes with seawater and rocks with water from the stream being covered with moss. From this site, we sampled both rock scrap and moss squeeze and found the highest diversity of diatom taxa (Fig. 3).

Light microscope (LM) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the most frequently occurring species and some others are represented in Fig. 2.

*Navicula blazencicae* Levkov (Fig. 2, 1-3) was originally described by Levkov and colleagues (Levkov et al. 2007) from North Macedonia and, until now, has been known as

the endemic of Lake Ohrid. In the study, it was found in two sampling locations represented by two freshwater temporal waterbodies (UT-2021-05 and UT-2021-09).




Figure 2. [doi](#)

LM and SEM images of the most common and some other diatoms findings on Abrau Peninsula: **1-3** *Navicula blazencicae*; **4-7** – *Navicula antonii*; **8-10** – *Mastogloia* sp.2; **11, 12** – *Cocconeis placentula* s.l.; **13, 14** – *Achnanidium minutissimum*; **15, 16** – *Brachysira aponina*; **17, 18** – *Planothidium frequentissimum*; **19, 20** – *Gomphonema parvulum* s.l.; **21-23** – *Amphora inariensis*; **24-26** – *Gomphonema pumilum* var. *rigidum*; **27, 28** – *Mastogloia lanceolata*. Scale bar = 10 µm and applies for all images, except SEM pictures 7, 10, 16, 23, 26, 28. LM – light microscopy, SEM – scanning electron microscopy.




Figure 3. [doi](#)

The view on Zhemchuzhnyj Waterfall, the hotspot of diatom diversity in Utrish Nature State Reserve. Rocks with algal film (left), stream water falls on stones covered with moss (right).

One of *Mastogloia* species, referred to as *Mastogloia* sp.2 (Fig. 2, 8-10), held a unique combination of morphometric characteristics (paratecta and raphe structural features) which we were unable to identify as a known species. Probably the same species was also found by A. Kaleli (Kaleli 2019) in similar habitats of coastline brackish waterbodies. According to the published illustration (see *Mastogloia* sp.1 in Kaleli 2019), the valves collected by A. Kaleli are quite similar to *Mastogloia* sp.2 in the current study and supposedly belonged to the same species, although it has also not been identified and needs additional verification. Beside that, two other *Mastogloia* species (*Mastogloia* sp.1 and *Mastogloia* sp.3) which were found in the current research are also likely to be new species and require further study.




Figure 4. [doi](#)

Hierarchical cluster analysis using the presence/absence matrix of diatom communities collected by different sampling methods from various biotopes of Abrau Peninsula (binary method, Ward.D2 clustering). Different symbols at the nodes of clusters illustrates biotope types: triangles – streams, squares – puddles (temporary waterbodies) and circles – permanent waterbodies. Right part of labels illustrates the type of sampling method: Moss – moss squeeze, Sedim – sediment from the bottom of waterbody or stream, Scrap – scrapping from the stones and Soil – soil in the littoral zone of waterbodies. Values at branches are approximately unbiased *p-values* (red colour) and bootstrap probabilities (green colour) in percentage. Clusters that are framed by red dashed line are supported by a *p-value* < 0.05.

## Data analysis

The cluster analysis revealed a considerable modulation effect of habitat type on the floristic composition of diatom communities of the Abrau Peninsula (Fig. 4). Diatom communities collected from streams, regardless of the sampling method, were significantly dissimilar to the communities collected from waterbodies and communities collected from scraps and soils of puddles ( $p < 0.05$ ). In turn, communities collected from waterbodies

were combined with communities sampled from scraps and soils of puddles and formed significant clusters ( $p < 0.01$ ).

The results of cluster analysis suggest that the floristic composition of diatom communities from streams is quite different from that in small ephemeral water objects (puddles) and stagnant water bodies (such as ponds, lakes and lagoons). Although some of the species living in streams might sometimes be present in puddles (see Fig. 4), the floristic composition of streams is most likely conservative and does not mix with other types of water objects.

The ecological conditions of marine and brackish waterbodies were obviously antagonistic to freshwater, thus the PCA by factor 1 clearly and predictably separated the frequency of freshwater and marine and brackish species (Fig. 5). The frequency of occurrence of oligotrophic and mesotrophic species strongly and positively correlated with freshwater streams of Abrau Peninsula. Only here were collected freshwater species such as *Amphora inariensis*, *A. pediculus*, *Cymbella affinis*, *C. hantzschiana*, *Encyonopsis microcephala*, *Gomphonema pygmaeum*, *Navicula tripunctata* and *Reimeria uniseriata*. The majority of collected eutrathentic species tended to be from freshwater puddles (e.g. *Craticula dissociata*, *C. molestiformis*, *Gomphonema parvulum*) and, to a lesser extent, freshwater waterbodies of the study area. The latter were positively correlated with the occurrence of species that prefer polluted water and, conversely, were antagonistic to the habitats of oligotrophic species and stream habitats. This may be due to the location of this type of waterbodies mainly near recreational areas and settlements. Aerophilic species did not show any strong correlation with the studied habitat types.




Figure 5. [doi](#)

Relationship between frequency of species occurrences with different separability (italic, active variables) and environment preferences (normal, supplementary variables) and in various investigated habitats (bold and italic, active variables) determined using the principal component analysis (PCA).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, project #19-74-10104. The identification of the diatoms was supported by RFBR, project #20-34-90011. The study was conducted using the Joint Usage Center «Instrumental methods in ecology» at the IEE RAS. The authors are grateful to O.N. Bykhalova, Deputy Director of Research at Utrish State Nature Reserve for the opportunity to work in the Reserve and for her help with fieldwork and samples collection. We also want to thank our friend, Ekaterina Petyukova, for help during the field campaign and collection of some samples. We would like to thank Dmitry A. Chudaev (Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia) for valuable comments on diatom identification. We thank all reviewers and redactors for their comments and suggestions that helped us significantly improve manuscript.

## Author contributions

AN and DK worked out the concept of the study. AN and AP carried out sampling collection in July 2021 on the Abrau Peninsula and Utrish Nature State Reserve. AN prepared samples and permanent microscopic slides and identified diatoms. RS and DK performed statistical analyses of obtained data. AN, RS, AP and DK worked on preparation of the Darwin Core archive dataset and text of the manuscript. All authors agree with the final version of the paper.

## References

- Armbrust EV (2009) The life of diatoms in the world's oceans. *Nature* 459 (7244): 185-192. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08057>
- Battarbee RW, Jones VJ, Flower RJ, Cameron NG, Bennion H, Carvalho L, Juggins S (2002) Diatoms. In: Smol JP, Birks HJB, Last WM, Bradley RS, Alverson K (Eds) *Tracking environmental change using lake sediments. Terrestrial, Algal, and Siliceous Indicators*. 2nd ed, Vol. 3. Springer, Netherland, 371 pp. [ISBN 978-1-4020-0681-4]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47668-1>
- Benoiston A, Ibarbalz F, Bittner L, Guidi L, Jahn O, Dutkiewicz S, Bowler C (2017) The evolution of diatoms and their biogeochemical functions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 372 (1728). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0397>
- Bocharnikov MV, Petrushina MN, Suslova EG (2020) Spatial Organization of the Vegetation and Landscapes of the Sub-Mediterranean Forest and Woodland Belt on the Abrau Peninsula (Northwestern Caucasus). *Arid Ecosystems* 9 (4): 237-247. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s2079096119040024>
- Cantonati M, Kelly MG, Lange-Bertalot H (Eds) (2017) *Freshwater Benthic Diatoms of Central Europe. Over 800 Common Species Used in Ecological Assessment*. Koeltz Botanical Books, 942 pp.
- CantyMedia (2022) weatherbase. <http://www.weatherbase.com>. Accessed on: 2022-3-21.

- Chen D, Chen HW (2013) Using the Köppen classification to quantify climate variation and change: An example for 1901–2010. *Environmental Development* 6: 69-79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2013.03.007>
- Davidovich NA, Polyakova SL (2022) Assessment of the species diversity of the genus *Pseudo-nitzschia* H. Peragallo, 1900 (Bacillariophyta) in plankton near Karadag using multidimensional statistical analysis. *Russian Journal of Marine Biology* 47 (6): 515-518. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s1063074021060031>
- Falasco E, Bona F (2011) Diatom community biodiversity in an Alpine protected area: a study in the Maritime Alps Natural Park. *Journal of Limnology* 70 (2). <https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2011.157>
- Falasco E, Ector L, Ciaccio E, Hoffmann L, Bona F (2012) Alpine freshwater ecosystems in a protected area: a source of diatom diversity. *Hydrobiologia* 695 (1): 233-251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1114-0>
- Foets J, Wetzel C, Teuling A, Pfister L (2020) Temporal and spatial variability of terrestrial diatoms at the catchment scale: controls on productivity and comparison with other soil algae. *PeerJ* 8 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9198>
- Galili T (2015) dendextend: an R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of hierarchical clustering. *Bioinformatics* 31 (22): 3718-3720. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv428>
- Guiry MD, Guiry G (2022) AlgaeBase: World-Wide Electronic Publication. <http://www.algaebase.org>. Accessed on: 2022-3-15.
- Kaleli A (2019) Benthic diatom composition of Iztuzu Coastal Lake, Dalyan (Aegean Sea, Turkey). *Aquatic Sciences and Engineering* 34 (4): 122-130. <https://doi.org/10.26650/ase2019575987>
- Kelly MG, Cazaubon A, Coring E, Dell'Uomo A, Ector L, Goldsmith B, Guasch H, Hürlimann J, Jarlman A, Kawecka B, Kwandrans J, Laugaste R, Lindstrøm E-, Leitao M, Marvan P, Padisák J, Pipp E, Prygiel J, Rott E, Sabater S, van Dam H, Vizinet J (1998) Recommendations for the routine sampling of diatoms for water quality assessments in Europe. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 10 (2): 215-224. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1008033201227>
- Kelly MG, Adams C, Graves AC, Jamieson J, Krokowski J, Lycett EB, Murray-Bligh J, Prichard S, Wilkins C (2001) *The Trophic Diatom Index: A User's Manual*. Revised version. Environment Agency, Bristol, 135 pp. [ISBN 1-185-05597-7]
- Kovaleva GV (2005) Mikrovodorosli ozera Abrau (Krasnodarskij Kraj) [Microalgae Of The Abrau Lake (Krasnodar Region)]. *Botanicheskij zhurnal* 90 (5): 681-695. [In Russian].
- Kovaleva GV (2018) K flore diatomovyh vodoroslej Ust'-Manychskogo vodohranilishcha (Zapadenskij i Shahaevskij limany)[Diatoms flora of the Ust'-Manych water storage reservoir (Shakhayevsky and Zapadensky Limans)]. *Trudy Yuzhnogo Nauchnogo Centra Rossijskoj Akademii Nauk* 7: 69-103. [In Russian].
- Kulikovskiy MS, Glushchenko AM, Genkal SI, Kuznetsova IV (2016) *Opredelitel' diatomovyh vodoroslei Rossii*. [Identification book of diatoms from Russia]. Filigran, Yaroslavl, 803 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 978-5-906682-72-7]
- Lange-Bertalot H (2001) *Navicula sensu stricto*. 10 genera separated from *Navicula sensu lato*. *Frustulia*. Diatoms of Europe, 2. Gantner Verlag, 526 pp. [ISBN 9783904144780]

- Levkov Z, Krstic S, Metzeltin D, Nakov T (2007) Diatoms of lakes Prespa and Ohrid. About 500 taxa from ancient lake system. *Iconographia Diatomologica*, 16. Ruggell, 607 pp. [ISBN 9783906166438]
- Neplyukhina A, Saifutdinov R, Pashkina A, Korobushkin D (2022) Diatoms of Utrish State Nature Reserve, Abrau Peninsula (Russia). A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences. Occurrence dataset. 01. GBIF.org. Release date: 2022-4-22. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15468/y2y9zr>
- Nevrova EL (2015) Ocenka raznoobraziya diatomovyh bentosa (Bacillariophyta) u poberezh'ya Karadaga (Chyornoe more, Krym) [Evaluation of benthic diatoms diversity (Bacillariophyta) near Karadag shore]. In: Gaevskaya AV, Morozova AL (Eds) 100 Let Karadagskoj Nauchnoj Stancii Im. T.I. Vyazemskogo. H.Орианда, Simferopol [In Russian]. [ISBN 1978-5-9907819-4-8].
- Ogureeva GN, Leonova NB, Emelyanova LG, Buldakova EV, Kadetov NG, Arkhipova MV, Miklyaeva IM, Bocharnikov MV, Dudov SV, Ignatova IA, Ignatova IA, Muchnik EE, Urbanavichus GP, Danilenko AK, Rummyantsev VY, Leontieva OA, Romanov AA, Konstantinov PA (2018) Map "The Biomes of Russia" (scale 1: 7 500 000). WWF Russia, Moscow.
- Olson D, Dinerstein E, Wikramanayake E, Burgess N, Powell GN, Underwood E, D'amico J, Itoua I, Strand H, Morrison J, Loucks C, Allnutt T, Ricketts T, Kura Y, Lamoreux J, Wettengel W, Hedao P, Kassem K (2001) Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth. *BioScience* 51 (11). [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0933:teotwa\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:teotwa]2.0.co;2)
- Patrick R (1973) Use of algae, especially diatoms, in the assessment of water quality. ASTM Special Technical Publications 528: 76-95.
- Petrov A, Nevrova E (2007) Database on Black Sea benthic diatoms (Bacillariophyta): its use for a comparative study of diversity peculiarities under technogenic pollution impacts. International Conference on Marine Biodiversity Data Management, Hamburg, Germany, 29 November – 1 December, 2004. VLIZ Special Publication, Paris. Proceedings Ocean Biodiversity Informatics, 37, 153-165 pp.
- Polyakova S, Davidovich N (2019) Abundance dynamics and size distribution of cells of the toxicogenic species from the genus *Pseudo-nitzschia* near the coast of Karadag (next to the biological station). Issues of modern algology (Voprosi sovremennoi algologii) 64-68. [https://doi.org/10.33624/2311-0147-2019-2\(20\)-64-68](https://doi.org/10.33624/2311-0147-2019-2(20)-64-68)
- R Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: <https://www.r-project.org>
- Reid MA, Tibby JC, Penny D, Gell PA (1995) The use of diatoms to assess past and present water quality. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 20 (1): 57-64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1995.tb00522.x>
- Round FE, Crawford RM, Mann DG (1990) Diatoms: biology and morphology of the genera. Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 747 pp. [ISBN 9780521714693]
- Ryabushko L, Balycheva D, Ryabushko V (2017) Microphytobenthos diatoms of the Black Sea: Biodiversity and ecology. *Ecologica Montenegrina* 14: 48-59. <https://doi.org/10.37828/em.2017.14.6>
- Ryabushko L, Lishaev D, Kovrigina N (2019) Species diversity of epilithon diatoms and the quality of the waters of the Donuzlav Gulf ecosystem (Crimea, the Black Sea). *Diversity* 11 (7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/d11070114>

- Ryabushko L, Miroschnichenko E, Blaginina A, Shiroyan A, Lishaev D (2021) Diatom and cyanobacteria communities on artificial polymer substrates in the Crimean coastal waters of the Black Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 169 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112521>
- Ryabushko LI, Balycheva DS, Pospelova NV, Begun AA (2018) Diatomovye vodorosli (Bacillariophyta) microfytobentosa i fitoplanktona osobo ohranyaemih prirodnihih akvatorii v pribrezh'e Chernogo i Yaponskogo morei [Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) of microphytobenthos and phytoplankton of specially protected natural water areas in Black and Japanese Seas]. *Biota i Sreda* 4: 5-24. [In Russian].
- Ryabushko LI, Shiroyan AG, Lishaev DN (2021) Diatomovye vodorosli epifitona makrofitov krymskogo pribrezh'ya Chyornogo morya [Diatoms of macrophyte epiphyton of the Crimean coast of the Black Sea]. *Trudy Karadagskoj nauchnoj stancii im. T.I. Vyazemskogo - prirodnogo zapovednika RAN3-11*. [In Russian]. <https://doi.org/10.21072/eco.2021.15.01>
- Sadchikov AP (2003) *Metody izucheniya presnovodnogo fitoplanktona*. [Methods for studying freshwater phytoplankton]. Universitet i shkola, Moscow, 157 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-94391-015-8]
- Seregin A, Suslova E (2007) Contribution to the vascular plant flora of the Utrish area, a relic sub-Mediterranean ecosystem of the Russian Black Sea Coast. *Willdenowia* 37 (2). <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.37.37207>
- Smol JP, Stoermer EF (Eds) (2010) *The diatoms: applications for the environmental and earth sciences*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press, 667 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511763175>
- Suzuki R, Shimodaira H (2006) Pvcust: an R package for assessing the uncertainty in hierarchical clustering. *Bioinformatics* 22 (12): 1540-1542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl117>
- Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K (2018) dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R package. 1.0.8. URL: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>
- Yasakova ON, Kolesnikov MV (2021) Sostoyanie fitoplanktonnogo soobshchestva akvatorii v rajone mysa Bol'shoj Utrish v oktyabre 2018 goda [The State Of The Phytoplankton Community In The Water Area In The Area Of Cape Bolshoi Utrish In October 2018]. *Nazemnye I Morskie Ekosistemy Poluostrova Abrau: Istorija, Sostoyanie, Ohrana Nauchie trudi* 5 [In Russian].